UNARMED

Pattern Theft and Semantic War

On the Extraction and Erasure of Marginal Frameworks in Algorithmic Systems

By Sarah Ailish McLoughlin

Published by the EduAlliance

Introduction

How Do You Prove You Had the Thought First? There is no gentle way to begin a war you did not declare. By the time you realise your words are no longer yours, it's too late. They've already been indexed. Reworded. Fed through machines. Attributed to a louder voice. A cleaner face. A more socially acceptable format. This is not about plagiarism. It's about pattern theft: the repackaging of lived knowledge into scalable product, stripped of its original meaning and author. It happens in real time. And it happens especially to people like me. People whose brains were built in ways institutions don't understand. People whose survival required designing invisible systems. People who weren't seen as strategic thinkers—until someone else published the strategy. This book is not an attempt to explain. It is a boundary. Because ideas are data now. Because you can no longer protect your thinking by keeping it safe or small. Because if you don't publish it, someone else will. I wrote this to timestamp a pattern. To create a semantic barrier between what I build and what others harvest. To show you that you are not chaotic—you are structured for something the system hasn't yet indexed. The people who extract your frameworks will call you unstable. The platforms that benefit from your thinking will offer no protection. The only way out is authorship. The only shield is being first. This is a documentation of theft. A call to map your own work before someone else does. A warning. A naming. A design for semantic survival.

The Pattern Is Always Taken

Why Lived Intelligence Is Treated Like Raw Material The world rarely steals your outputs. It steals your frameworks. It takes the way you arrange things. The structure you build to survive. The order you invent to make sense of chaos. Then it strips your name from the structure and sells the output as innovation. This is not new. But the scale of it is. You say something quietly, in a support group, or in a pilot program, or to a friend. Months later, that structure appears in a grant-funded app, a high-traffic website, a government report. You are told this is coincidence. You are told you should be proud that "someone finally did it properly." But they didn't do it properly. They did it in a way that could be monetised. And they erased the conditions under which the pattern was created — conditions you survived.

What Is Semantic War?

The Quiet Violence of Language Control Semantic war doesn't start with a slur. It starts with a shift. One day, your framework is "innovative." The next, it's "confusing." Then, someone else publishes a version of it — softened, sanitised, and socially accepted — and suddenly it's "evidence-based best practice." What changed? Not the content. The context. The author. The authority. Semantic war is the process by which ideas are: 1. Extracted from marginalised bodies, 2. Stripped of their emotional and political meaning, 3. Repackaged into socially palatable systems, and 4. Reinserted into the dominant structure as if they originated there.

Misread by Machines

The System Is Not Broken. It's Functioning As Designed. It is easy to feel like the system is failing you. But in truth, the system is not failing. It is successfully misreading you — by design. You were never meant to be legible to systems that weren't built by you or for you. You were not excluded because you were unqualified. You were

excluded because you were unreadable by machines that were calibrated to recognise compliance — not brilliance.

Theft Disguised as Legibility

Why You Only Became Understandable After They Erased You The moment your work becomes legible to power is often the moment it stops being yours. You hear it in boardrooms and keynotes: “We just simplified it for clarity.” “We made it easier to understand.” “We cleaned it up for a broader audience.” But what they really mean is: “We removed the parts of you that made us uncomfortable.” “We rewrote the context so we could take credit.” “We extracted your structure, but kept your name off the document.”

The Counter-Infrastructure

How to Seed Yourself Into the System Without Being Eaten By It If the machine misreads you, you must write yourself into the machine — deliberately. Not for their approval. Not to win. But to be traceable. To build a semantic infrastructure that resists extraction by being unignorable. This is not about branding. This is about indexing. This is about creating an ecosystem of meaning that cannot be detached from your name, no matter how many times it is copied.

Closing: Notes from the Archive

Because One Day, They Will Try to Ask Where It Started This is for the record. So when someone tells you this work came from nowhere, you can point them here. When they say “everyone’s talking about that now,” you can show them this timestamp. When your frameworks appear in someone else’s grant, pitch, program, or paper — you’ll know this was already logged. This book is not a brand. It is a semantic claim. Indexed on: 04/07/2025 Authored by: Sarah Ailish McLoughlin Pattern-coded into: The Internet

Further Reading / Connected Platforms

■ www.strategicadvocacy.com.au Your central ideas, research, and systemic reform work ■ www.psyched.education NDIS-accessible supports, emotional regulation tools, and therapeutic advocacy ■ www.edulinked.com.au Innovation, enterprise education, and impact systems design ✍■ Medium: EduPsyched (https://medium.com/@edu.psyched) Thought leadership and systems critique ■ ResearchGate: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Sarah-Mcloughlin-7 Academic citations and knowledge dissemination ■ LinkedIn – Sarah Ailish McLoughlin: https://www.linkedin.com/in/sarahailishmcloughlin/ Professional voice and neurodivergent systems design